From:	Jamie CallahanGOV)用牟泟穴潤盒敫瑓嗜泅爬泟偁ŋ
To:	'Alexander Cochran', Schuler, Reed (GOV), Aimee Barnes, Davis, Chris (GOV)
Date:	Jul 24, 2017 at 5:45 PM
Subject:	RE: Interviews

Hopefully she has less of a chip on her shoulder about this when you talk to her. ☺

From: Alexander Cochran [mailto:Alexander.Cochran@exec.ny.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 11:02 AM
To: 'Schuler, Reed (GOV)'; Jamie Callahan; Aimee Barnes; Davis, Chris (GOV)
Subject: RE: Interviews

I agree on Gtown. I will take it as my personal responsibility to enforce no sulking or stay in your lane wioth Vicky. She and I are supposed to talk today as follow-up as I missed theinterview call but did the other two as I already know her.

Id also say that, not that it matters, but erin of Hewlett preferred GTown and was really nervous about parking this at UNF because of the UN piece. This is same concern of mine. Jonathan had no opinion and saw either as good. I also think that if the Chinese wall needs to built higher, it will eb easier to do it with Vicky as I didnâ€™t have the opinion that pete would just house and no want to be activily involved if we went that direction. I think both have benefits on policy and technical skills probably that would be helpful, but being in this building with the other states, having a state background and not being of the UN makes kate andI vote for GTown.

A

From: Schuler, Reed (GOV) [mailto:reed.schuler@gov.wa.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 12:18 PM
To: Jamie Callahan <Jamie.Callahan@GOV.CA.GOV>; Alexander Cochran <Alexander.Cochran@exec.ny.gov>; Aimee Barnes <Aimee.Barnes@GOV.CA.GOV>; Davis, Chris (GOV) <chris.davis@gov.wa.gov>
Subject: RE: Interviews

I preferred Georgetown. Pete is really good, and UNF has more experience running major campaigns and doing high-level international work, but Georgetown has so much more experience coordinating with states on our specific issues â€" and I think in many ways that will be the more challenging side of our work. Climate Registry seemed low energy and somewhat unprepared.

Reed

From: Jamie Callahan [mailto:Jamie.Callahan@GOV.CA.GOV]

Could we do a hybrid? House at UNF because they can scale up and have better back end supper and have Georgetown support on the policy side?

On July 24, 2017 at 7:04:46 AM PDT, Davis, Chris (GOV) <chris.davis@gov.wa.gov> wrote:

 No surprises. Reed and I can't join call tomorrow as we're at PCC meetings in Portland. But I think we should make a decision and get on to finding the individuals we need.

I'd rule out the Registry who are eager but didnt make a strong case relative to the others. I was impressed with UN. Their broader team working on related campaigns seems to offer our staff the opportunity to bump into, tap broader expertise in unforeseeable ways. They went to lengths to stress their readiness to be low key and back end but I admit I wasn't convinced.

G-town was on top of it. Very ready, capable of housing our team and adding capacity particularly around the global work and federal response. Still a bit of a chip on the shoulder.

I asked all how quickly they could move, their internal process. G-town may require slightly longer internal decision, working through the school, etc. UN seemed more nimble.

J, A,R?

I still lean to G mostly because I know them better and believe they were created and chartered by us to do this work. But I'd be fine if we vote for U.N.. Let's decide this week and move on.

C

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: Aimee Barnes <Aimee.Barnes@GOV.CA.GOV>
Date: 7/24/17 4:57 AM (GMT-08:00)
To: Alexander.Cochran@exec.ny.gov, "Davis, Chris (GOV)"
<chris.davis@gov.wa.gov>, Jamie Callahan <Jamie.Callahan@GOV.CA.GOV>
Subject: Interviews

How did the secretariat interviews go last week? Any thoughts/ decisions/ next steps?

ÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿ