## Confidential Review Draft - 20 March 2016

Potential State Causes of Action Against Major Carbon Producers: . Scientific, Legal and Historical Perspectives

> 25 April 2016 Harvard Law School, Cambridge MA

Co-organized by Harvard Law School and the Union of Concerned Scientists

## Meeting Objectives:

- Create a 'safe space' for a frank exchange of approaches, ideas, strategies, and questions pertaining to potential state causes of action against major carbon producers and the cultural context in which such cases might be brought.
- Share legal and scientific information having an important bearing on potential investigations and lawsuits.
- Surface and consider key concerns, obstacles, or information gaps that may need to be addressed for investigations and lawsuits to proceed.
- Establish trusted and productive networks to support ongoing development of these ideas.

## Meeting Agenda:

meet, mingle, lunch 12-12:30:

Welcome and introductions (moderator: Goho) 12:30-1:00:

> Professor Richard Lazarus, Harvard Law School

> Ken Kimmell, President, Union of Concerned Scientists

1:00-2:00 Introductory/overview panel (moderator: Frumhoff)

- > The question of climate responsibility Naomi Oreskes, Harvard
- > Lessons from tobacco litigation: Sharon Eubanks, Bordas & Bordas
- > The case for state-based investigations and litigation: tbd
- Key legal issues: Shaun Goho, Harvard Law School

Open Discussion (15 min)

2:00-3:00 Attributing Impacts to Climate Change and Carbon Producers

- > Extreme weather and climate change: Phil Mote, Oregon State
- > Sea level rise and coastal flooding: Ben Strauss, Climate Central
- Tracing impacts to carbon producers Peter Frumhoff, UCS
- Climate harms from a legal perspective Carroll Muffett, CIEL Open Discussion (20 min)

3:00-3:20 Break 3:20-4:20

4:20-5:15

State Causes of Action

- > Public nuisance claims: Harvard, tbd.
- > Consumer protection claims: UCLA
- > Key obstacles & opportunities to address them Ken Kimmell, UCS

Open Discussion (include messaging/communication/public dimension; process for

Panel Discussion (30 min) (additional participants tbd)

ongoing expert input and dialogue;) 5:15:

Wrap up and next steps

5:30:

Adjourn

Continued information dialogue over dinner in Harvard Square, location tbd