

From: [Alexander Cochran](#)  
To: [Davis, Chris \(GOV\)](#)   
Date: Aug 2, 2017 at 2:09 PM
Subject: Re: should we check in today at 2 PT? It's on my calendar
AttachmentList: 4

Go ahead and contact Amy. I haven't got my team together yet on it. We should put together a one pager on what the event would look like and what we would say in relation to Trevor slides. Are we just gonna compare ourselves to the other states? I think we put that together and get to schedulers etc to get buy in or buy out from the govs offices

What is Vicky doing? Could we co-op that if we pick her?
Had real good talk with jen Austin. She's legit!!!
We are talking to a bunch today to pass on to folks.

I'll be interested in what Vicky says and if can really step back. I'm for her, but last call I had with her did raise a few questions as to whether she would be too difficult and that's why someone like jen who is strong would be good.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: Davis, Chris (GOV)
Sent: Wednesday, August 2, 2017 1:05 PM
To: Alexander Cochran
Subject: RE: should we check in today at 2 PT? It's on my calendar

I understood from our last call that you guys would lead that since it's your backyard. I'm happy to contact Amy at CG to figure out what's possible but we need to act if we're going to do something there. The agenda is filling up. Let me know what you'd like to do

CHRIS DAVIS

Senior Advisor – Energy and Carbon Marketsl Office of Governor Jay Inslee
Desk: 360-902-0490| Cell: 360-972-5693
www.governor.wa.gov | chris.davis@gov.wa.gov



From: Alexander Cochran [mailto:Alexander.Cochran@exec.ny.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 6:10 PM
To: Davis, Chris (GOV) <chris.davis@gov.wa.gov>
Subject: Re: should we check in today at 2 PT? It's on my calendar

No. Not that I know of.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: Davis, Chris (GOV)
Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2017 5:05 PM
To: Alexander Cochran
Subject: RE: should we check in today at 2 PT? It's on my calendar

Have you guys reached out to Climate Group or anyone else about an agenda and getting USCA onto a session or event at Climate Week?

CHRIS DAVIS

Senior Advisor – Energy and Carbon Marketsl Office of Governor Jay Inslee
Desk: 360-902-0490| Cell: 360-972-5693
www.governor.wa.gov | chris.davis@gov.wa.gov



From: Alexander Cochran [<mailto:Alexander.Cochran@exec.ny.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, August 01, 2017 10:36 AM
To: Davis, Chris (GOV) <chris.davis@gov.wa.gov>; Aimee Barnes <Aimee.Barnes@GOV.CA.GOV>
Subject: Re: should we check in today at 2 PT? It's on my calendar

I don't thimk we need to unless Vicky and Pete have got back to us yet. I am actually doing interview at 2p so that time won't work anyway for me. I've got 6 of them over next two days.

If have any questions your asking your folks as culling the lists of candidates, shoot me and I'll ask my folks similar questions.

A

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: Davis, Chris (GOV)
Sent: Tuesday, August 1, 2017 1:26 PM
To: Alexander Cochran; Aimee Barnes
Subject: should we check in today at 2 PT? It's on my calendar

CHRIS DAVIS

Senior Advisor – Energy and Carbon Marketsl Office of Governor Jay Inslee
Desk: 360-902-0490| Cell: 360-972-5693
www.governor.wa.gov | chris.davis@gov.wa.gov



__substg1.0_3703001F*ÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿÿ7__substg1.0_3704001F*^aÿÿÿ8

From: [Jamie Callahan\(GOV\)用牟汲穴潤奮敷瓈磬浹砲凌儻n](#)
To: ['Alexander Cochran', Schuler, Reed \(GOV\)](#), [Aimee Barnes](#), [Davis, Chris \(GOV\)](#)
Date: Jul 24, 2017 at 5:45 PM
Subject: RE: Interviews

Hopefully she has less of a chip on her shoulder about this when you talk to her. ☺

From: Alexander Cochran [mailto:Alexander.Cochran@exec.ny.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 11:02 AM
To: 'Schuler, Reed (GOV)'; Jamie Callahan; Aimee Barnes; Davis, Chris (GOV)
Subject: RE: Interviews

I agree on Gtown. I will take it as my personal responsibility to enforce no sulking or stay in your lane wioth Vicky. She and I are supposed to talk today as follow-up as I missed theinterview call but did the other two as I already know her.

Id also say that, not that it matters, but erin of Hewlett preferred GTown and was really nervous about parking this at UNF because of the UN piece. This is same concern of mine. Jonathan had no opinion and saw either as good. I also think that if the Chinese wall needs to built higher, it will eb easier to do it with Vicky as I didnâ€™t have the opinion that pete would just house and no want to be activily involved if we went that direction. I think both have benefits on policy and technical skills probably that would be helpful, but being in this building with the other states, having a state background and not being of the UN makes kate andI vote for GTown.

A

From: Schuler, Reed (GOV) [<mailto:reed.schuler@gov.wa.gov>]
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 12:18 PM
To: Jamie Callahan <Jamie.Callahan@GOV.CA.GOV>; Alexander Cochran <Alexander.Cochran@exec.ny.gov>; Aimee Barnes <Aimee.Barnes@GOV.CA.GOV>; Davis, Chris (GOV) <chris.davis@gov.wa.gov>
Subject: RE: Interviews

I preferred Georgetown. Pete is really good, and UNF has more experience running major campaigns and doing high-level international work, but Georgetown has so much more experience coordinating with states on our specific issues â€“ and I think in many ways that will be the more challenging side of our work. Climate Registry seemed low energy and somewhat unprepared.

Reed

From: Jamie Callahan [<mailto:Jamie.Callahan@GOV.CA.GOV>]

Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 7:21 AM
To: Alexander.Cochran@exec.ny.gov; Schuler, Reed (GOV) <reed.schuler@gov.wa.gov>; Aimee Barnes <Aimee.Barnes@GOV.CA.GOV>; Davis, Chris (GOV) <chris.davis@gov.wa.gov>
Subject: Re: Interviews

Could we do a hybrid? House at UNF because they can scale up and have better back end supper and have Georgetown support on the policy side?

On July 24, 2017 at 7:04:46 AM PDT, Davis, Chris (GOV) <chris.davis@gov.wa.gov> wrote:

No surprises. Reed and I can't join call tomorrow as we're at PCC meetings in Portland. But I think we should make a decision and get on to finding the individuals we need.

I'd rule out the Registry who are eager but didn't make a strong case relative to the others. I was impressed with UN. Their broader team working on related campaigns seems to offer our staff the opportunity to bump into, tap broader expertise in unforeseeable ways. They went to lengths to stress their readiness to be low key and back end but I admit I wasn't convinced.

G-town was on top of it. Very ready, capable of housing our team and adding capacity particularly around the global work and federal response. Still a bit of a chip on the shoulder.

I asked all how quickly they could move, their internal process. G-town may require slightly longer internal decision, working through the school, etc. UN seemed more nimble.

J, A,R?

I still lean to G mostly because I know them better and believe they were created and chartered by us to do this work. But I'd be fine if we vote for U.N.. Let's decide this week and move on.

C

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Aimee Barnes <Aimee.Barnes@GOV.CA.GOV>

Date: 7/24/17 4:57 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: Alexander.Cochran@exec.ny.gov, "Davis, Chris (GOV)"

<chris.davis@gov.wa.gov>, Jamie Callahan <Jamie.Callahan@GOV.CA.GOV>

Subject: Interviews

How did the secretariat interviews go last week? Any thoughts/ decisions/ next steps?

yyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy